

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,786	09/16/2003	Dong-Gweon Oh	Q77450	9523
23373	7590 11/16/2005		EXAM	INER
	SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC	
SUITE 800	EVANIA AVENOE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		3713	-

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{O}					
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-				
	10/662,786	OH, DONG-GWEON					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,				
	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB/	ATION. ply be timely filed (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 September 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	hdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement.	ov the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection t	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		···					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t		, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/662,786

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Addink (6,042,477).

Referring to claim 1, Addink teaches a data synchronization method in multiplayer network games, comprising: a first step of detecting data constructing objects, according to logic having a varied attribute from data of a game operated in a first client, said objects being belong to the first client (see abstract; 2:46-67); and a second step of extracting varied contents of the detected data, segmenting the contents into packets, and transmitting the packets to a second client, wherein the first and second steps are carried out by modules independent of each other (2:46-3:50).

Referring to claim 2, Addink teaches the first step discriminates the data having a varied attribute from data constructing the objects (2:55-65).

Referring to claim 5, Addink teaches a system for peer-to-peer (P2P) network games, comprising: a plurality of clients in which an application program for a P2P network game is operated to execute the network game according to game logic (Fig. 2); and a game server (51) for mediating the network game among the clients, wherein

Art Unit: 3713

the application program includes a game processing module that defines objects used in the game to execute the game and manages variations in attributes of the objects, and a communication module that takes charge of communication between the game server and the clients and among the clients and, when there is a variation in the attributes of the objects, extracts varied contents to transmit them in unit of packets to the clients participating in the game (4:16-5:23).

Referring to claim 6, wherein each of the clients includes an object database (DB) for storing data constructing the objects, and the communication module makes the clients participating in the game share their object DBs to synchronize data of the clients, these limitations are inherent from the computers 53 and 55 since they can receive and/or send data packets to each other during the game.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addink (6,042,477) in view of Gupta et al. (US2003/0204742).

Addink teaches all limitations of claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 above. Addink teaches does not explicitly teach the packets of the second step are transmitted using a reliable transmission technique based on negative acknowledge (NACK) and reliable

Page 4

transmission technique based on acknowledge (ACK) (claims 3 and 7). Gupta et al., however, teaches a peer-to-peer network that utilizes transmission techniques based on negative acknowledge (NACK) and acknowledge (ACK) (paragraph 65). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the transmission techniques based on negative acknowledge (NACK) and acknowledge (ACK) of Gupta et al. to the multiplayer gaming system of Addink to provide a reliable game network thus attract more game players and increase profits.

Referring to claim 4, wherein the second step makes the first and second clients share information about their objects to synchronization data of the first client with data of the second client, this limitation is inherent from Addink's teaching of game communication between the computers that utilizes clock offset to minimize the latency (3:1-50).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh-An D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,786

Art Unit: 3713

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC3700